

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ANDREW U. D. STRAW,

Plaintiff,

v.

AVVO, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C20-0294JLR

ORDER


Before the court are three motions filed by *pro se* Plaintiff Andrew U. D. Straw: (1) a “motion for operative complaint to be recognized instanter and clerk to issue summons for service” (the “First Motion”) (*see* 1st Mot. (Dkt. # 14) at 1); (2) a “motion regarding service” (the “Second Motion”) (*see* 2d Mot. (Dkt. # 17) at 1); and (3) a “new motion for PACER access and refunds” (the “Third Motion”) (*see* 3d Mot. (Dkt. # 18)). For the reasons set forth below, the court DENIES each motion.

The First and Second Motions request that the court order the United States Marshals Service to serve Mr. Straw’s summons and complaint using certain methods of

1 service that Mr. Straw prefers. (*See* 1st Mot. at 1-2; 2d Mot. at 1-2.) Pursuant to 28
2 U.S.C. § 1915(d) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c)(3), the court ordered the
3 United States Marshal or Deputy Marshal to serve the summons and complaint in this
4 matter before Mr. Straw filed either of his motions. (*See* 3/11/20 Order (Dkt. # 10) at
5 2-4.) Pursuant to that order, the United States Marshals Service and the Clerk are
6 diligently attempting to serve the complaint and summons. (*See, e.g.*, 4/9/20 Dkt. Entry;
7 4/17/20 Dkt. Entry; 5/14/20 Dkt. Entry (Dkt. # 16); 5/28/20 Dkt. Entry; 6/8/20 Dkt.
8 Entry.) Thus, the First and Second Motions request relief the court has already granted—
9 a court order directing the United States Marshals Service to serve the summons and
10 complaint. (*See* 3/11/20 Order at 2-4.) Accordingly, the court DENIES the First and
11 Second motions.

12 Mr. Straw's Third Motion requests free access to PACER and a court order
13 refunding Mr. Straw's prior PACER charges. (*See* 3d Mot. at 1-3.) The court has
14 already denied Mr. Straw's request for free PACER access. (*See* 3/11/20 Order at 2-3.)
15 Mr. Straw also fails to cite any statute, caselaw, or procedural rule that allows him to file
16 a motion requesting PACER refunds and an order from the court "that PACER stop
17 toying" with him. (*See* 3d Mot. at 1-3.) Thus, the court DENIES the Third Motion.

18 Dated this 12th day of June, 2020.

19
20 

21 JAMES L. ROBART
22 United States District Judge